

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/609,183	06/26/2003	Christopher Forrest Harvey	085804-012201	7640
	7590 01/24/2008	•	EXAMINER	
MET LIFE BU	YAHOO! INC. C/O GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP MET LIFE BUILDING		ALAM, UZMA	
200 PARK AV NEW YORK, 1			ART UNIT . PAPER NUMBE	
,			2157	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

,			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	V
	10/609,183	HARVEY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Uzma Alam	2157	·
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was railure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB.	CATION. Papely be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 O	<u>ctober 2007</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		•	is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17,18 and 28 is/are w 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 and 19-27 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vithdrawn from considerati	on.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 June 2003</u> is/are: a)	⊠ accepted or b) object	cted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	٠
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•	(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ammer. Note the attached		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to the Request for Continued Examination filed October 30, 2007. Claims 1-28 are pending. Claims 17, 18 and 28 are withdrawn from consideration because of a restriction requirement. Claims 1-16, 19-27 represent a method for facilitating communication between peer users.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-16 and 19-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parker et al. US Patent No. 6, 677, 976 (herein referred to as "Parker") in view of Lowthert US Patent No. 5,832,300 in further view of Okazaki et al. US Patent No. 5,819,048. Parker teaches the invention as claimed including establishing a communication session between separate users (see abstract). Lowthert teaches the invention as claimed including sending images to clients (see abstract).

As per claim 1, Parker teaches a method comprising:

associating a first user with a first user ID (identifying a user by a user ID; column 4, lines 28-67; column 5, lines 1-10, column 5, lines 53-65; column 7, lines 56-67);

Art Unit: 2157

associating a instant message with the first user ID (sending the instant message to the correct user based on the user ID; column 4, lines 59-67; column 5, lines 1-10; column 5, lines 53-65);

associating an image with the first user ID (column 4, lines 59-67; column 5, lines 11-15; column 5, lines 53-65; column 7, lines 56-67);

causing the instant message to be communicated to the first user from a second user based on the first user ID (sending the instant message to the first user based on the user ID; column 4, lines 59-67; column 6, lines 13-30; column 7, lines 24-35); and

causing the image to be communicated to the first user from the second user based on the first user ID (linking the image with the user based on the user ID; column 4, lines 59-67; column 6, lines 13-30; column 7, lines 56-67);

wherein the first user is able to receive both the instant message and image from the second user, the image being communicated at a frame rate (second user sending IM and images to the first user; column 4, lines 59-67; column 6, lines 13-30; column 6, lines 3-30; column 6, lines 55-67, image communicated at a frame rate, column 5, lines 10-15).

Parker does not teach at least one of said frame rate and said image quality being based upon conditions of a communication path between said first user and said second user. Lowthert teaches at least one of said frame rate and said image quality being based upon conditions of a communication path between said first user and said second user. See column 4, lines 20-31. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the video conference of Parker with the image quality and frame rate of Lowthert. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to enhance

Art Unit: 2157

communication based on certain exchange parameters (column 5, lines 1-5). Also, Parker suggests that the video telephony system is described in more detail in the copending applications (see column 4, lines 59-62).

Parker and Lowthert do not teach said frame rate being scalable in accordance with a number of dropped frames depending on whether a previous image has been received. Okazaki teaches a frame rate being scalable in accordance with a number of dropped frames depending on whether a previous image has been received. See column 3, lines 50-65. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the video conference of Parker with the scalable frame rate of Okazaki. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to provide an information data processing apparatus for preventing a transmission of information data that results in a vain use of a processing ability of a CPU or the like and for enabling the information data of a proper amount of data according to a situation to be transmitted (Okazaki, column 2, lines 1-8).

As per claims 9 and 19, Parker teaches a method comprising:

initiating one or more server connections between s broadcaster computer and a first viewer computer via one or more application servers, the connections for passing an image and an instant message (going through a central server and requesting a session; column 5, lines 1-15; column 5, lines 53-65; column 6, lines 3-30; column 6, lines 55-67);

receiving an indication to establish a peer-to-peer connection between the broadcaster computer and the first viewer computer, the peer-to-peer connection for passing the image (the

Art Unit: 2157

central server allows the user to have direct communication; column 5, lines 1-55; column 5, lines 53-65; column 6, lines 3-30; column 7, lines 1-15); and

routing the image over the peer-to-peer connection instead of the server connections, thereby conserving bandwidth of the servers (sending all data across the direct connection; column 5, lines 1-15; column 5, lines 53-65; column 6, lines 3-30).

Parker does not teach at least one of said frame rate and said image quality being based upon conditions of a communication path between said first user and broadcaster computer, said frame rate being scalable in accordance with a number of dropped frames on whether a previous image has been received. Lowthert teaches at least one of said frame rate and said image quality being based upon conditions of a communication path between said first user and said broadcaster computer, said frame rate being scalable in accordance with a number of dropped frames on whether a previous image has been received. See column 4, lines 20-31. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the video conference of Parker with the image quality and frame rate of Lowthert. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to enhance communication based on certain exchange parameters (column 5, lines 1-5). Also, Parker suggests that the video telephony system is described in more detail in the copending applications (see column 4, lines 59-62).

Parker and Lowthert do not teach said frame rate being scalable in accordance with a number of dropped frames depending on whether a previous image has been received. Okazaki teaches a frame rate being scalable in accordance with a number of dropped frames depending on whether a previous image has been received. See column 3, lines 50-65. It would have been

Art Unit: 2157

obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the video conference of Parker with the scalable frame rate of Okazaki. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to provide an information data processing apparatus for preventing a transmission of information data that results in a vain use of a processing ability of a CPU or the like and for enabling the information data of a proper amount of data according to a situation to be transmitted (Okazaki, column 2, lines 1-8).

As per claim 2, Parker teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the second user uses a broadcaster computer and the first user uses first viewer computer, the method further comprising:

receiving a request to initiate one or more server connections between the broadcaster computer and the first viewer computer, the connections for passing the image (going through a central server and requesting a session; column 5, lines 1-15; column 5, lines 53-65; column 6, lines 3-30; column 6, lines 55-67);

facilitating a peer-to-peer connection between the broadcaster computer and the first viewer computer, the peer-to-peer connection for passing the image (the central server allows the user to have direct communication; column 5, lines 1-55; column 5, lines 53-65; column 6, lines 3-30; column 7, lines 1-15); and

facilitating communication of the image over the peer-to-peer connection instead of the server connections, thereby conserving bandwidth of the servers (sending all data across the direct connection; column 5, lines 1-15; column 5, lines 53-65; column 6, lines 3-30).

As per claim 3, Parker teaches the method of claim 2, further comprising: receiving control data for the image from the broadcaster computer (column 7, lines 24-35).

As per claims 4, 12 and 22 Parker teaches the method of claims 2, 11, and 19, wherein a third user uses a second viewer computer, further comprising, after passing the image from the broadcaster computer to the first viewer computer:

passing a request to view the image from a second viewer computer to the broadcaster computer (sending a request to a central computer to a user; column 6, lines 30-54; column 7, line 1-15; column 8, lines 31-67); and

facilitating the reestablishing of a first server connection between the broadcaster computer and the first viewer computer for passing the image in response to receiving the second viewer computer request (column 6, lines 30-54; column 7, line 1-15; column 8, lines 31-67); and

facilitating a second server connection between the broadcaster computer and the second viewer computer for passing the image, thereby permitting both the first viewer computer and the second viewer computer to receive the image (column 6, lines 30-54; column 7, line 1-15; column 8, lines 31-67).

As per claims 5, 13, and 23, Parker teaches the method of claims 4, 12 and 22, wherein the reestablishing is in response to the broadcaster computer receiving approval from the second user (column 6, lines 13-30; column 8, lines 1-15, lines 23-36).

Art Unit: 2157

As per claims 6, 14 and 24 Parker teaches the method of claims 5, 12 and 22, wherein the third user is on an approved list (column 6, lines 13-30; column 8, lines 1-15, lines 23-36).

As per claims 7, 15 and 25 Parker teaches the method of claims 4, 11 and 22, further comprising: maintaining the peer-to-peer connection during existence of the server connection to the second viewer computer; terminating the second server connection; and facilitating the passing of the image over the peer-to-peer connection in response to termination of the second server connection (maintaining a direct connection between two users; column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-15; column 8, lines 37-52).

As per claims 8 and 26, Parker teaches the method of claims 1 and 25, further comprising: associating a second user ID with the second user; wherein causing the instant message to be communicated to the first user is further based on the second user ID (column 6, lines 30-54; column 8, lines 1-15, 23-36).

As per claim 10, Parker teaches the method of claim 9, wherein the server connections with the application servers are for passing control data for the image (sending packet header information; column 6, lines 31-54).

As per claims 11 and 16, Parker teaches the method of claims 10 and 15, wherein the server connections are further for passing an instant message (column 8, lines 1-15).

Art Unit: 2157

As per claim 20, Parker teaches the method of claim 19, further comprising: receiving control data for the image from the broadcaster computer (sending packet header information; column 8, lines 37-52)

As per claim 21, Parker teaches the method of claim 20, further comprising: passing an instant message from the broadcaster computer to the first viewer computer (column 8, lines 37-50).

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Fukasawa et al. US Patent No. 6,377,989 in view of Okazaki et al. US Patent No. 5,819,048. Fukasawa teaches the invention as claimed including a method for transferring images.

Fukasawa teaches a method comprising:

passing a first image of a series of images from a broadcaster computer to a first viewer computer(the image server sending image to image client; column 9, lines 11-14; column 1, lines 18-35);

detecting an indication from the first viewer computer as to whether the first image has been received (transmit Ack message...to image server; column 6, lines 58-64; column 9, lines 15-20); and

passing a second image of the series of images if the first image has been received (column 9, lines 21-30).

Art Unit: 2157

Fukasawa does not teach said frame rate being scalable in accordance with a number of dropped frames depending on whether a previous image has been received. Okazaki teaches a frame rate being scalable in accordance with a number of dropped frames depending on whether a previous image has been received. See column 3, lines 50-65. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the video conference of Parker with the scalable frame rate of Okazaki. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to provide an information data processing apparatus for preventing a transmission of information data that results in a vain use of a processing ability of a CPU or the like and for enabling the information data of a proper amount of data according to a situation to be transmitted (Okazaki, column 2, lines 1-8).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Uzma Alam whose telephone number is (571) 272-3995. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/609,183 Page 11

Art Unit: 2157

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Uzma Alam Ua January 19, 2008